

From: Smith, James M.
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2010 7:39 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: FW: Rugulations

RECEIVED
IRRC
2010 AUG 10 A 9 39

#2785

From: Josh & Brenda Britez [mailto:jobren@emypeople.net]
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 11:40 PM
To: IRRC; Smith, James M.
Subject: Rugulations

James smith,

Hi, My name is Josh Britez. I am the owner of Maple lane Kennel.
I'm writing because I am against the following proposed regulations.

1 Auxiliary Ventilation:

I do not believe that 2 different types of ventilation are necessary.

2 The guages the department wants to install:

I strongly oppose this one!

3 Radiant heat flooring may not be utilized as the primary heating:

Radiant heat in the flooring is a very safe heat to use and is currently being used in many homes.

I just built a new kennel in 2009 - 2010 , I put in a ventilation system that the supplier said should exceed the amount of air exchanges the proposed regulations at the time of construction required.

I put in radiant heat in the floor - Because I didn't want my dogs on cold, wet concrete, only to find out that none of these efforts are good enough!

I agree that changes were due in PA. I am glad to see the substandard Kennels leave, however I think the canine health Board went overboard with these regulations. If Dog Law is really concerned about the health and well being of the dogs why do these regulations affect only the commercial kennels? what about the poor dogs in the shelters , rescues, non commercial kennels? It seems to me that it is another effort By the state to shut down more commercial kennels. Is raising puppies a crime? Will all puppies be imported soon?

I ask you to please vote against these regulations! thank you for your time.